

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,038	05/06/2005	Michel Serpelloni	0600-1040	9708
466 YOUNG & TI	7590 09/09/200 HOMPSON	EXAMINER		
209 Madison Street			SASAN, ARADHANA	
Suite 500 ALEXANDRI	A VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1111111111111111	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		1615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Interview Summary	10/534,038 SERPELLONI, MICHEL		
morrism cummary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ARADHANA SASAN	1615	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO)	personnel):		

(1) <u>ARADHANA SASAN</u> .	(3)BOB MADSEN.				
(2) <u>MICHAEL WOODWARD</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 04 September 2008.					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: 10.17 and 20.					
Identification of prior art discussed: Tsukuda et al. (US 2002/0146487 A1).					
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \boxtimes N/A.					

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant's representative will consider adding the phrase "excluding soyprotein" in the claim to distinguish over Tsukuda. Applicant's representative will consider removing the "consisting in" phrase from claims 17 and 20.</u>

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALLING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Aradhana Sasan/	/MP WOODWARD/
Examiner, Art Unit 1615	Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1615